Immune cell profiles and clinical and safety outcomes with fingolimod in the 12 month FLUENT study of patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis

Yang Mao-Draayer¹, Jeffrey A Cohen², Amit Bar-Or³, May H Han⁴, Barry Singer⁵, Scott Kolodny⁶, Chelsea Elam⁶, Xiangyi Meng⁶, Marina Ziehn⁷, Bruce AC Cree⁸

Poster Number: P0213

 $YY \downarrow YY \downarrow YY$

 $YY \downarrow Y \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$

 $firstime{\label{eq:starses}} firstime{\label{eq:starses}} fir$

 \mathbf{x}

YYYYYYY

¹Autoimmunity Center of Excellence, Multiple Sclerosis Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ²Mellen Center, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; ³Center for Neuroinflammation and Experimental Therapeutics, and Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁴Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁵Missouri Baptist Medical Center, St Louis, MO, USA; ⁶Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA; ⁷Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ⁸UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Poster Presentation at the 8th Joint ACTRIMS-ECTRIMS Meeting, MSVirtual 2020, September 11-13, 2020



Scan to download a copy of this presentation

Copyright © 2020 Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. All rights reserved

Disclosures and acknowledgments

Yang Mao-Draayer has received consulting and/or speaker fees from Acorda, Bayer Pharmaceuticals, Biogen, Celgene, Chugai, EMD Serono, Genentech, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme and Teva. He has received research support from Chugai, NIAID Autoimmune Center of Excellence, NIH NINDS, Novartis and Sanofi Genzyme.

Jeffrey A Cohen has received personal compensation for consulting from Adamas, Atara, Bristol Myers Squibb, Convelo, MedDay and Mylan, and for serving as an Editor of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*.

Amit Bar-Or has participated as a speaker in meetings sponsored by and received consulting fees and/or grant support from Actelion, Atara Biotherapeutics,

Biogen Idec, Celgene/Receptos, Genentech/Roche, Mapi, MedImmune, Merck/EMD Serono, Novartis and Sanofi Genzyme.

May H Han has served on advisory boards for Arena Pharma Inc., Novartis and Roche (Genentech).

Barry Singer has received research grant support from AbbVie, Alkermes, Bayer, Biogen, MedImmune, Novartis, Roche and Sanofi Genzyme. He has received consulting and/or speaking fees from AbbVie, Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb (Celgene), EMD Serono, Genentech, Greenwich Biosciences, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva and TG Therapeutics.

Chelsea Elam and Xiangyi Meng are employees of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

Scott Kolodny was an employee of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation at the time of the analyses and abstract submission.

Marina Ziehn is an employee of Novartis Pharma AG.

Bruce AC Cree has received personal compensation for consulting from Akili, Alexion, Atara, Biogen, EMD Serono, Novartis, Sanofi and TG Therapeutics.

The study was supported by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

Medical writing and editorial support were provided by Oxford PharmaGenesis, Oxford, UK, and were supported by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. The final responsibility for the content lies with the authors.

Background and objective

- Fingolimod (Gilenya[®]) is an S1P modulator approved for adult and pediatric patients with RMS¹
- Fingolimod inhibits S1P₁-mediated lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes, impairing infiltration
 of autoreactive lymphocytes into the CNS²
 - However, the effects of fingolimod on specific immune cell subsets remain unclear
- FLUENT study (<u>NCT03257358</u>) investigated the relationship between immune cell subset changes in innate and adaptive immune systems in response to fingolimod

Objective Characterize immune cell changes in biomarkers and subtypes of monocytes, neutrophils, and NK, T and B cells, in patients with RMS initiating fingolimod or continuing fingolimod treatment

CNS, central nervous system; NK, natural killer; RMS, relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis; S1P, sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor

1. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. Prescribing information. Gilenya®. 2019. Available from: https://www.pharma.us.novartis.com/sites/www.pharma.us.novartis.com/files/gilenya.pdf (Accessed January 13, 2020); 2. Song ZY, et al. PloS One. 2014;10:e0124923

Methods: Study design

- FLUENT: phase 4, 12 month, prospective, multicenter, nonrandomized, open-label study of fingolimod in adults (≥18 years) with RMS
- Patients stratified according to previous treatment:
 - fingolimod-naive (cohort 1)
 - \circ continuous treatment with fingolimod 0.5 mg/day for ≥2 years (cohort 2)

Assessments

- Primary outcome: change in immune cell subsets from baseline to Month 12
- Secondary outcomes:
 - Patient-Determined Disease Steps
 - serum NfL concentration
 - anti-JCV antibody status
 - incidence of AEs

Analyses

- Primary outcome: analyzed by ANCOVA model with sex and cohort as factors, and disease duration and baseline as covariates
- Secondary outcomes: summarized by descriptive statistics
- Analyses were conducted for all patients completing Month 12 follow-up

Results: Demographics and disease characteristics

- FLUENT included 380 patients
 - fingolimod-naive, n=163
 - o continuous-fingolimod, n=217
- Fingolimod-naive participants were younger than continuously treated fingolimod patients
- Proportion of patients with relapses in the past year was greater in fingolimod-naive group than in continuous-fingolimod group

	Cohort 1 Fingolimod-naive	Cohort 2 Continuous-fingolimod
	(N=163)	(N=217)
Age, years, median (range)	41.0 (18-68)	50.0 (24-71)
Female, n (%)	127 (77.9)	158 (72.8)
Race, n (%)		
Caucasian	136 (83.4)	186 (85.7)
Black	22 (13.5)	22 (10.1)
Asian	0	3 (1.4)
Native American	1 (0.6)	2 (0.9)
Unknown	3 (1.8)	1 (0.5)
Other	1 (0.6)	3 (1.4)
Time from diagnosis to first treatment, years, median (range)	3.45 (0.0-33.6)	11.69 (2.3-40.6)
≥1 relapse in the past year, n (%)	101 (62.0) ^a	32 (14.7) ^b
≥1 relapse in the past 2 years, n (%)	116 (71.2) ^a	47 (21.7) ^b

Results: Immune cell profile

- At baseline, fingolimod-naive patients versus continuous-fingolimod patients have:
 - higher counts of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells and B cells and their respective subsets
 - broadly similar monocyte, neutrophil and NK cell counts

Immune cell subset counts (cells/µl)	Fingolimod-naive (cohort 1)	Fingolimod-treated for ≥2 years (cohort 2)
Adaptive immune cells		
CD4+ naive T cells	404.4 (273.6)	3.4 (20.7)
CD4+ central memory T cells	374.6 (216.4)	16.3 (45.1)
CD4+ effector memory T cells	74.3 (43.6)	22.8 (41.2)
CD8+ naive T cells	150.9 (119.5)	1.8 (8.9)
CD8+ central memory T cells	93.1 (75.2)	5.6 (14.9)
CD8+ effector memory T cells	108.2 (93.3)	63.8 (115.3)
CD19+ naive B cells	201.1 (134.0)	18.1 (33.8)
CD19+ memory B cells	61.8 (74.3)	3.3 (22.6)
CD19+ regulatory B cells	12.3 (12.9)	5.3 (7.7)
Innate immune cells		
Monocytes (CD14+)	329.6 (167.5)	251.7 (118.5)
Neutrophils (CD16+)	4041.4 (1576.7)	3717.9 (1552.9)
NK cells (CD56+)	166.4 (98.2)	181.0 (114.0)

Results: Immune cell profile changes from baseline to Month 12

- From baseline to Month 12, continuous-fingolimod patients had similar numbers of T cell and B cell subtypes
- By contrast, fingolimod-naive patients had reductions from baseline in B cell and T cell subtypes
- Decreases in neutrophil and NK cell counts from baseline to Month 12 were observed for both continuous-fingolimod and fingolimod-naive groups
 - Reductions in neutrophil counts were most pronounced for fingolimod-naive patients
- Monocyte counts increased from baseline to Month 12 in both groups

				• Fingolimo	od-naive	Cont	tinuous-fing	olimod
Cell subset								
CD4+ naive T cells								
CD4+ central memory T	r cells							
CD4+ effector memory	T cells						•	
CD8+ naive T cells							•	
CD8+ central memory T	cells						•	
CD8+ effector memory	T cells							
CD19+ naive B cells						٠		
CD19+ memory B cells							•	
CD19+ regulatory B cel	ls							
Monocytes (CD14+)								- ✦-
Neutrophils (CD16+)		•			•			
NK cells (CD56+)								
-	-1200	-1000	-800	-600	-400	-200	0	20
						/ II / IX		

Mean change from baseline to Month 12 (cells/ $\mu L)$

Results: Serum NfL and Patient-Determined Disease Steps

Serum NfL

- Baseline serum NfL concentrations (mean±SD), a biomarker of neuroaxonal damage,¹ were higher in fingolimod-naive patients than in those continuing fingolimod treatment
 - Fingolimod-naive patients, 12.16±11.05 pg/mL; continuous fingolimod, 9.59±7.56 pg/mL
- At Month 12, serum NfL concentrations (mean changes [95% CI]):^a
 - o decreased to 8.57±5.32 pg/mL (-3.73 pg/mL [-6.03,-1.43]) in fingolimod-naive patients
 - increased marginally to 9.78±8.88 pg/mL (+0.67 pg/mL [-0.56, 1.90]) in those previously treated with fingolimod

Patient-Determined Disease Steps

- PDDS scores (patient reported outcome measure that correlates with EDSS scores²) remained stable at Month 12 in both cohorts, with changes from baseline of (mean±SD) -0.1±0.9 vs 0.0±0.8
 - Baseline PDDS scores: 1.7±1.9 for fingolimod-naive; 1.8±1.9 for patients previously treated with fingolimod

^aComparative statistical analyses were not performed for changes in NfL

CI, confidence interval; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; NfL, neurofilament light chain; PDDS, Patient-Determined Disease Steps; SD, standard deviation

^{1.} Disanto G, et al. Ann Neurol. 2017;81:857-870; 2. Learmonth YC, et al. BMC Neurol. 2013;13:37

Safety

- Anti-JCV antibody index did not change from baseline in either cohort
- Proportion of patients with positive JCV status remained stable at Month 12:
 - Fingolimod-naive, 61%; continuous-fingolimod, 67%
 - Baseline proportions: 57% and 65%, respectively
- Proportions of patients with serious AEs were low in both cohorts
 - Most AEs were more frequently reported in fingolimod-naive patients than in those continuing treatment, except for fall and UTI
- TEAEs and AEs leading to discontinuation occurred in a greater proportion of fingolimod-naive patients than continuous-fingolimod patients

Event, n (%)	Fingolimod-naive (cohort 1; n=163)	Fingolimod-treated for ≥2 years (cohort 2; n=163)		
Treatment-emergent AE	89 (54.6)	96 (44.2)		
Serious AE	9 (5.5)	12 (5.5)		
Treatment-emergent AE leading to treatment discontinuation	20 (12.3)	12 (5.5)		
AEs occurring in ≥3% of patients in at least 1 cohort				
Headache	13 (8.0)	4 (1.8)		
Lymphopenia	9 (5.5)	1 (0.5)		
Pain in extremity	9 (5.5)	3 (1.4)		
URTI	8 (4.9)	6 (2.8)		
Fatigue	8 (4.9)	3 (1.4)		
Anxiety	6 (3.7)	3 (1.4)		
Dizziness	6 (3.7)	1 (0.5)		
Fall	5 (3.1)	13 (6.0) ^a		
Depression	5 (3.1)	2 (0.9)		
Hypoesthesia	5 (3.1)	1 (0.5)		
Migraine	5 (3.1)	1 (0.5)		
Arthralgia	5 (3.1)	6 (2.8)		
UTI	1 (0.6)	7 (3.2)		

^aPatients in cohort 2 may have been at a greater risk of falling than those in cohort 1 owing to older age and longer MS duration. Mean baseline age (years): 41 (cohort 1) and 50 (cohort 2); mean baseline MS duration (years): 3.5 (cohort 1) and 11.7 (cohort 2). AE, adverse event; JCV, John Cunningham Virus; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection, UTI, urinary tract infection.

Conclusions

- As expected, given fingolimod's MoA, fingolimod-naive patients initiating treatment experienced marked reductions in CD4+ and CD8+ T cells at 12 months
- Patients who had received fingolimod treatment for at least 2 years showed minor changes in immune cell subsets at 12 months
 - o Immune cell subsets were not further reduced with longer term fingolimod treatment
- NfL levels decreased from baseline in the fingolimod-naive cohort
- Anti-JCV antibody index remained unchanged during the study
- Fingolimod was generally well tolerated in both naive and previously treated patients